

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

Directorate: Adults and Health

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

 The relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

Service area: Commissioning

- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- Whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

	_
Lead person: Jonathan Sharp	Contact number: (0113) 37 87855
(4 	10 1 01 1 1 1 1 1
	3' Procedure Rule 21 to enter into a 6 month
	th contract for the Supply and Management of
Furnished Temporary Accommodation Ser	vice.
Is this a:	
Strategy / Policy X Service	ce / Function Other
If other, please specify	

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

A Framework Contract is currently in place for the Supply and Management of Furnished Temporary Accommodation (TA) Services. This contract is managed and commissioned by Adults and Health Commissioning on behalf of Resources and Housing. The initial contract period expired on 30 September 2017.

The first-of-three 6 month options to extend was implemented to on 1 October 2017. This first-of-three 6 month extensions is due to expire on 31 March 2018.

Placements to temporary accommodation within this contract for homeless households are made by Resources and Housing via the Leeds Housing Options Team, Children's Services and Adults and Health.

The Housing Act 1996 part VII (as amended by the 2002 Homelessness Act) requires all councils to ensure that interim accommodation is available to homeless households seeking assistance under the homeless legislation and, if a statutory homeless duty is accepted, that provision of temporary accommodation must continue until the homeless household is offered either a secure tenancy, or assured tenancy; or agrees to take an assured short hold tenancy.

This service has been part of a wider strategic review carried out across 2015-17 by Adults & Health Commissioning. This looked at future need and demand of Housing Related Support Services (HRS). The review resulted in the recommendations for two main procurements: a new Visiting Support Service and Intensive and Dispersed Accommodation Service, whilst retaining some Specialist Services and re-contracting with providers to allow further review work to be undertaken and a period of stability during the mobilisation and embedding of the new HRS model.

The decision to extend this contract will ensure the continuation of an existing service and will not introduce a new service or decommission an existing service. This decision does not involve any proposal to make major changes or close the service.

The service specification contains clear requirements to ensure the provider has Equality policies and procedures in place and a responsive service is delivered to all equality groups. To date, RD Willis has provided a good quality accessible service.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies / policies, services / functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – both locally and city-wide. These will also have a greater / lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		✓
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		✓
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		√
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		√
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment		√

		1			
Advancing equality of opportunityFostering good relations					
If you have answered no to the questions above please complet	e sections 6	and 7			
 If you have answered no to the questions above please complete sections 6 and 7 If you have answered yes to any of the above and; Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 4. Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 5. 					
4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion a	nd integration	on			
If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impa					
Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prom	pts for guidan	ce).			
A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesio (Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, edgaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engageme planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected)	quality related	information,			
Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point? Yes No					
B) Key findings (Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different erpotential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception benefit one group at the expense of another)	, potential to b	ring			
C) Actions (Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduced to the control of the control	e negative im	pact)			
	J ,				

5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.				
	, ,			
Date to scope and plan you	r impact assessment:			
Date to complete your impact assessment				
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)				
6. Governance, ownership	oroved the actions and outcome	as of the servening		
Type of Decision being as		es of the screening		
Please tick as appropriate	30330u			
Key (Incurring expenditure or making	ng savings over £250.000 each year	П		
_	effect on communities ling in an area c	omprising two or more wards)		
_	king savings over £100,000 per year)			
Significant Other (as Delegate	ed Decision Making definition set out in	n Pt 3 of Constitution)		
Administrative (not in conflict v	vith approved policies and do not raise	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Administrative (not in conflict v		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	vith approved policies and do not raise	new issues of policy		
Name	vith approved policies and do not raise Job title	new issues of policy Date		
Name Julie Staton	vith approved policies and do not raise Job title	new issues of policy Date		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing	vith approved policies and do not raise Job title Head of Commissioning	Date 21 February 2018		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing This screening document wi	vith approved policies and do not raise Job title Head of Commissioning	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing This screening document wi	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independent	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are referenced.	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independent	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are rescreening document will need	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independence to be published.	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are rescreening document will need. Date screening completed.	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independence to be published.	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
Name Julie Staton 7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are rescreening document will need	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independence to be published.	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are rescreening document will need. Date screening completed.	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independence to be published.	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		
7. Publishing This screening document with has been given. If you are rescreening document will need bate screening completed Date sent to Equality Team	Job title Head of Commissioning ill act as evidence that due renot carrying out an independence to be published.	e new issues of policy Date 21 February 2018 egard to equality and diversity		